

CHERN - SIMONS GAUGE FIELD THEORY  
OF TWO - DIMENSIONAL FERROMAGNETS

L. Martina, O. K. Pashaev <sup>\*)</sup> and G. Soliani

*Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Universita' and Sezione INFN, 73100 Lecce, Italy  
and*

*\*) Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia*

**Abstract**

*A Chern-Simons gauged Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation is derived from the continuous Heisenberg model in 2+1 dimensions. The corresponding planar magnets can be analyzed within the anyon theory. Thus, we show that static magnetic vortices correspond to the self-dual Chern - Simons solitons and are described by the Liouville equation. The related magnetic topological charge is associated with the electric charge of anyons. Furthermore, vortex - antivortex configurations are described by the sinh-Gordon equation and its conformally invariant extension. Physical consequences of these results are discussed.*

The gauged Chern - Simons models have stimulated a great attention as field theories of anyons ( particles with arbitrary spin and statistics ) in (2+1)-dimensional physics [1]. These models are connected with the braid and quantum group representation theory [2, 3], and are applied in SET TERM/WIDTH=132/PAGE=40 the treatment of the high- $T_c$  superconductivity phenomena [1,4] and of the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect (FQHE) [5]. It has been shown that the Chern - Simons gauged Landau-Ginzburg model plays the role of effective theory for the FQHE [6]. In the static limit, the self-dual version of the model possesses soliton solutions [7], which correspond to Laughlin's quasi-particles and give a realization of the anyons. On the other hand, the authors have shown recently that the self-dual Chern-Simons model can be associated with the stationary 2-dimensional continuous classical Heisenberg model, using the tangent space representation of the corresponding Landau-Lifshitz equation (LLE) [8]. This formalism arises as a natural generalization of the gauge equivalence approach developed for the 1+1 dimensional Heisenberg model, which in this way is mapped into the Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE) [9]. A similar correspondence [10] can be established between the Ishimori model and the Davey-Stewartson equation, which are the integrable extensions of the previous models in (2+1)-dimensions. These mappings are essentially given by the chiral current on a Lie algebra, determined by the original system, and such relations can be established independently from the Lax representation and the integrability properties. Of course, we lose some nice properties of these mappings from the viewpoint of the Inverse Spectral Transform, but they can be useful in treating non integrable models. By this approach, in 1-space dimension, the Heisenberg model is mapped into a gauged NLSE with zero electric field. Surprisingly enough, in 2-space dimensions we observe that the continuous Heisenberg model and, more generally, the nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models are described as gauged Chern - Simons field theories.

We notice that the tangent space representation is similar to the  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  formulation of the  $O(3)$  nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models [11], but they do not coincide. In fact, in both representations

the  $SU(2)$  group diagonalizes the spin variables, belonging to the coset space  $SU(2)/U(1)$ , and the local  $U(1)$  invariance arises. But in the  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  representation the "matter fields" are identified with the complex coordinates of the group, while in the tangent space formulation the matter fields are given in terms of the first order derivatives of the group coordinates. As a consequence, the Gauss law of the Chern-Simons theory naturally arises and the  $U(1)$  gauge field can be interpreted as a "statistical gauge" field. Moreover, the energy density contains the squared moduli of the matter fields and, as a consequence, the magnetic energy is equivalent to the "number of particles" [12].

In the present paper the non-stationary (2+1)-dimensional continuous Heisenberg model is represented as a two components NLSE for the charged matter fields  $\Psi_{\pm}$ , interacting with a Chern-Simons gauge field. Furthermore, the  $\Psi_{\pm}$  fields have to satisfy a supplementary geometrical constraint and, for self-dual reductions, we recover the model proposed by Jackiw and Pi [7]. The static magnetic vortices of our system are related to the Chern-Simons solitons and the topological charge of the former is related to the electric charge of the latter. Since the Chern - Simons solitons are interpreted as anyons [5], our approach allows us to describe the planar magnetic states in terms of anyons. Therefore, it explains the analogy in the behavior of the planar magnetic vortices and the quantum Hall particles, which was mentioned before by other authors [13-14].

All the results presented here are formally extended to the case in which the spin variables belong to the non-compact coset space  $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ . This model finds several applications, being related to antiferromagnetic [12] and disordered systems [15].

The model we consider is described by the classical spin vector  $\mathbf{S} = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$ , where  $S_j = S_j(t = x_0, x_1, x_2)$  are real functions satisfying the constraint  $S_3^2 + \kappa^2 (S_1^2 + S_2^2) = 1$ , and  $\kappa^2 = \pm 1$  represents the curvature of the spin phase space. Then, the spin vector belongs to the sphere  $S^2 \simeq SU(2)/U(1)$ , or to the pseudosphere  $S^{1,1} \simeq SU(1,1)/U(1)$ , when  $\kappa^2 = 1$  or  $\kappa^2 = -1$ , respectively. The time evolution is given by the LLE for the

continuous isotropic Heisenberg model, i.e.

$$\partial_t \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S} \times \nabla^2 \mathbf{S}. \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) can be written in matrix form

$$\partial_t S = \frac{1}{2i} [S, \nabla^2 S], \quad (2)$$

where  $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_3 & \kappa \bar{S}_+ \\ \kappa S_+ & -S_3 \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $S_+ = S_1 + iS_2$  and the bar meaning the complex conjugation.

By resorting to a right - invariant local  $U(1)$  transformation  $g$ , belonging to the  $U(2)$  or to the  $U(1,1)$  group, the matrix  $S$  can be diagonalized in the form  $S = g\sigma_3 g^{-1}$ . In terms of the entries of the matrix  $S$ ,  $g$  is given by

$$g = \pm [2(1 + S_3)]^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + S_3 & -\kappa \bar{S}_+ \\ \kappa S_+ & 1 + S_3 \end{pmatrix} \exp(i\sigma_3 \beta), \quad (3)$$

where we extract the arbitrary real function  $\beta$ . By definition, the matrix  $g$  satisfies the (pseudo-)unitarity condition  $\Gamma g^\dagger \Gamma g = \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\Gamma = \text{diag}(1, \kappa^2)$ .

We can introduce the chiral current  $J_\mu$  ( $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ ), which reads

$$J_\mu = g^{-1} \partial_\mu g = J_\mu^{(0)} + J_\mu^{(1)} = \frac{i}{4} \sigma_3 V_\mu + J_\mu^{(1)}, \quad (4)$$

$$V_\mu = i\kappa^2 [(S_+ \partial_\mu \bar{S}_+ - \bar{S}_+ \partial_\mu S_+) / (1 + S_3)] + 4\partial_\mu \beta, \quad (5)$$

when decomposed into the diagonal and off-diagonal parts. The off-diagonal matrix  $J_\mu^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_\mu \\ q_\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  has the non zero components defined by

$$q_\mu = \frac{\kappa}{2} S_+ \exp(2i\beta) \partial_\mu \ln(S_+ / (1 + S_3)) \quad (r_\mu = -\kappa^2 \bar{q}_\mu). \quad (6)$$

Thus, the quantities  $(q_\mu, r_\mu, V_\mu)$  ( $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ ) are the tangent space coordinates for the Heisenberg model (1)-(2). From Eq. (6) we readily obtain the relation

$$r_\mu q_\mu = -\kappa^2 |q_\mu|^2 = -\frac{1}{4} [(\partial_\mu S_3)^2 + \kappa^2 \partial_\mu S_+ \partial_\mu \bar{S}_+], \quad (7)$$

which tells us that the matter density field  $|q_m|^2$  ( $m = 1, 2$ ) is connected with the magnetic energy density of the model (1) along the  $x_m$  - direction ( henceforth, we will follow the convention to reserve latin indexes, running on 1, 2, for the space-like quantities, while the greek indexes can indifferently take values on 0, 1, 2). A relation similar to (7) holds in 1+1 dimensions as far as the connection between the NLSE and the Heisenberg model is concerned [12].

From definition (4), the chiral current  $J_\mu$  satisfies the zero curvature condition, namely

$$\partial_\mu J_\nu - \partial_\nu J_\mu + [J_\mu, J_\nu] = 0. \quad (8)$$

By using the decomposition (4) of  $J_\mu$  and with the help of Eqs. (6) and (7), this condition provides the following equations:

$$D_\mu q_\nu = D_\nu q_\mu \quad (9)$$

for the off-diagonal part, and

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = -\frac{i}{2} (\partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu) = -2\kappa^2 (\bar{q}_\mu q_\nu - q_\mu \bar{q}_\nu) \quad (10)$$

for the diagonal part, where we have introduced the covariant derivative  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2} V_\mu$ .

On the other hand, with the help of the evolution equation (2) we can relate  $J_0^{(1)}$  to the other current components, we get

$$J_0^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} V_m J_m^{(1)} + i \partial_m J_m^{(1)} \sigma_3, \quad (11)$$

where the summation over the repeated indices is understood. Equivalently, we can express the last equation in terms of the  $q_m$ 's functions, namely

$$q_0 = i D_m q_m. \quad (12)$$

Equation (12) allows us to eliminate the function  $q_0$  from the zero curvature conditions (9-10), obtaining in such a way the gauge invariant evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} i D_0 q_m + D_m D_\lambda q_\lambda &= 0, \\ [D_0, D_m] &= 2i\kappa^2 (\bar{q}_m D_\lambda q_\lambda + q_m \overline{D}_\lambda \bar{q}_\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and

$$D_m q_n = D_n q_m, \quad (14)$$

$$[D_m, D_n] = -2\kappa^2 (\bar{q}_m q_n - q_m \bar{q}_n).$$

Thus, the original LLE (2) is transformed into the system of equations (13) and (14).

Now, let us introduce the new fields

$$\Psi_{\pm} = \frac{q_1 \pm iq_2}{2} \quad (15)$$

and the pair of covariant derivatives defined by

$$D_{\pm} = D_1 \pm iD_2 = \partial_1 \pm i\partial_2 - \frac{i}{2}(V_1 \pm iV_2) = \partial_{\pm} - \frac{i}{2}V_{\pm}. \quad (16)$$

Notice that, for the complex variables  $\eta = x_1 + ix_2$ ,  $\bar{\eta} = x_1 - ix_2$ , we have  $\partial_- = 2\partial_{\eta}$  and  $\partial_+ = 2\partial_{\bar{\eta}}$ . Then, the system (13-14) is written in the form

$$D_+ \Psi_- - D_- \Psi_+ = 0 \quad (17.a)$$

$$[D_+, D_-] = 8\kappa^2 (|\Psi_+|^2 - |\Psi_-|^2) \quad (17.b)$$

$$iD_0 \Psi_{\pm} + D_{\pm} D_{\mp} \Psi_{\pm} = 0 \quad (17.c \pm)$$

$$[D_0, D_{\pm}] = 8i\kappa^2 (\bar{\Psi}_{\mp} D_{\pm} \Psi_{\mp} + \Psi_{\pm} \overline{D_{\mp} \Psi_{\pm}}). \quad (17.d \pm)$$

We recognize that the system (17) has the form of a two-component gauged NLSE. Indeed, from (16) and (17.b) we can derive the identity  $D_{\pm} D_{\mp} = (D_1^2 + D_2^2) \pm 4\kappa^2 (|\Psi_+|^2 - |\Psi_-|^2)$ . Then, by using it into (17.c  $\pm$ ) and redefining the gauge potential by

$$A_0 = V_0 - 8\kappa^2 (|\Psi_+|^2 + |\Psi_-|^2), \quad A_{\mu} = V_{\mu} \quad (\mu = 1, 2), \quad (18)$$

Eqs. (17b, c, d) give the system

$$iD_0 \Psi_{\pm} + (D_1^2 + D_2^2) \Psi_{\pm} + 8\kappa^2 |\Psi_{\pm}|^2 \Psi_{\pm} = 0 \quad (19.a \pm)$$

$$\partial_2 A_1 - \partial_1 A_2 = 8\kappa^2 (|\Psi_+|^2 - |\Psi_-|^2) \quad (19.b)$$

$$\partial_0 A_j - \partial_j A_0 = 8\kappa^2 i\varepsilon_{j\ell} [\bar{\Psi}_+ D_{\ell} \Psi_+ - \Psi_+ \overline{D_{\ell} \Psi_+} - \bar{\Psi}_- D_{\ell} \Psi_- + \Psi_- \overline{D_{\ell} \Psi_-}] \quad (19.c)$$

where the covariant derivative becomes  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - \frac{i}{2}A_\mu$  ( $\mu = 0, 1, 2$ ). Furthermore we have the relation

$$(D_1 + iD_2)\Psi_- = (D_1 - iD_2)\Psi_+, \quad (20)$$

which is simply a different form of Eq. (17.a) and can be considered as a constraint of geometrical origin on the fields. System (19) generalizes the class of NLSE interacting with an abelian Chern-Simons gauge field introduced in Ref. [7]. Equations (19.a  $\pm$ ) describe the time evolution of two opposite charged matter fields  $\Psi_\pm$  in the gauge field  $A_\mu$ , Eq. (19.b) defines the "statistical magnetic" field according to the Chern-Simons Gauss law and, finally, Eq. (19.c) defines the "statistical electric" field in the plane. In fact, it is easy to verify that the source of these fields is the matter current

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_\mu = \left( \rho, \vec{\mathfrak{S}} \right) = & \left( |\Psi_+|^2 - |\Psi_-|^2, \right. \\ & \left. i \left[ \Psi_+ \overline{D}_m \overline{\Psi}_+ - \overline{\Psi}_+ D_m \Psi_+ + \overline{\Psi}_- D_m \Psi_- - \Psi_- \overline{D}_m \overline{\Psi}_- \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

which satisfies the continuity equation  $\partial_\mu \mathfrak{S}_\mu = 0$ . Therefore, according to Eq. (7), the magnetic energy density is related to the density of particles (anyons) by

$$\partial_m S_3 \partial_m S_3 + \kappa^2 \partial_m S_+ \partial_m \overline{S}_+ = 8\kappa^2 \left( |\Psi_+|^2 + |\Psi_-|^2 \right). \quad (22)$$

When we limit ourselves to the *static configurations* of the Heisenberg model (1), it reduces to the 2-dimensional  $O(3)$  ( $O(2,1)$  respectively) nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model. Then, putting  $V_0 = 0$ , the system (17) can be solved by requiring that

$$D_\pm \Psi_\mp = 0, \quad (23.a \pm)$$

$$[D_+, D_-] = 8\kappa^2 \left( |\Psi_+|^2 - |\Psi_-|^2 \right). \quad (23.b)$$

a) System (23) reduces to the self-dual Chern - Simons model [7] when one of the matter fields, say  $\Psi_-$ , vanishes. In this case Eq. (23.a +) is solved by the gauge fields  $V_m$  given by

$$V_1 = -\partial_2 \phi + i\partial_1 \chi, \quad V_2 = \partial_1 \phi + i\partial_2 \chi, \quad (24)$$

where  $\phi = \ell n |\Psi_+|^2$ ,  $\chi = \ell n (\overline{\Psi_+}/\Psi_+)$ . Then, Eq. (23.b) reduces to the Liouville equation

$$(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2) \phi = -8\kappa^2 e^\phi. \quad (25)$$

This equation is well known in differential geometry and in string theory [16, 17]. Its relation with the ferromagnetic models was recently obtained in Ref. [8]. Here we recall only that it is an integrable equation, admitting a Lax pair representation. Moreover, this equation is invariant under generic conformal transformations

$$\eta = f(\tilde{\eta}), \bar{\eta} = g(\tilde{\bar{\eta}}), \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\bar{\eta}}) = \phi(f(\tilde{\eta}), g(\tilde{\bar{\eta}})) + \ell n(f' g'), \quad (26)$$

where  $f', g'$  are the derivatives of the functions  $f$  and  $g$  with respect to their argument.

The general solution of Eq. (25) has the form [7, 18]

$$|\Psi_+|^2 = e^\phi = |\partial_\eta \zeta|^2 / \left(1 + \kappa^2 |\zeta|^2\right)^2, \quad (27)$$

where the holomorphic (except, maybe, in a finite number of poles) function  $\zeta$  can be reinterpreted as the stereographic projection

$$\zeta = S_+ / (1 + S_3) \quad (28)$$

of  $\mathbf{S}$  in the complex plane, as one can realize by replacing Eq. (6) into (15). The N-soliton solutions to Eq (25) is obtained by taking  $\zeta(\eta) = \sum_{n=1}^N [c_n / (\eta - \eta_n)]$ , where  $c_n$  and  $\eta_n$  are arbitrary complex numbers. The superimposed N-soliton solution at the origin  $\mathbf{O}$  is obtained by choosing  $\zeta = a \eta^N$ . Then, the solitons of the self-dual Chern-Simons model correspond to the magnetic vortices (magnetic bubbles) for the static continuous Heisenberg model. An anti-holomorphic stereographic projection ( $\partial_\eta \zeta = 0$ ), corresponding to a magnetic anti-vortex configuration, can be also used in Eq. (27). Finally, by using the parametrization (28) for  $\mathbf{S}$ , we obtain a remarkable expression for the topological charge of this type of solutions, i.e.

$$Q = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi}\right) \iint \mathbf{S} \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{S} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{S}) dx_1 dx_2 = \pm \frac{1}{\pi} \iint |\Psi_+|^2 dx_1 dx_2, \quad (29)$$



(where the sign - is taken when  $\zeta$  is anti-holomorphic) which gives the charge quantization for the anyons. In fact, if the spin field takes values on the sphere, the homotopic theorem  $\pi(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$  ensures us that  $Q$  is an integer. An analogous result may be achieved in the non-compact case. Relation (29) establishes an explicit correspondence between the magnetic topological charge and the electric charge associated with the particles of the self-dual Chern-Simons model.

*b)* Now let us consider the more general case, in which neither  $\Psi_+$  nor  $\Psi_-$  is identically zero on the plane. First, we observe that Eq. (23.a  $\pm$ ) can be written in the form

$$V_1 \pm iV_2 = -2i\partial_{\pm}\ell n(\Psi_{\mp}). \quad (30\pm)$$

Just by comparing these equations, we readily obtain that

$$\partial_{\bar{\eta}}\ell n(\Psi_- \bar{\Psi}_+) = 0, \quad (31)$$

then the function

$$U = \ell n(\Psi_- \bar{\Psi}_+) \quad (32)$$

is an holomorphic function and it is connected with the conformal symmetry of the static system. On the other hand, the existence of such a function  $U$  must be compatible with the static Heisenberg model (1). In fact, resorting again to the expressions of  $\Psi_{\pm}$  in terms of the spin variables, we obtain

$$U = \ell n \left[ \frac{\partial_{\eta}\zeta \partial_{\bar{\eta}}\bar{\zeta}}{\left(1 + \kappa^2|\zeta|^2\right)^2} \right], \quad (33)$$

where the stereographic parametrization (28) has been used. Handling this quantity by the help of the static limit of the equation of motion (1) (or (2)), we verify that Eq. (31) is satisfied.

Assuming now that  $U$  is a known function, we can solve Eq. (32) in the form

$$\Psi_- = \frac{e^U}{\bar{\Psi}_+}. \quad (34)$$

Since the l.h.s. of Eq. (23.b) can be written as  $[D_+, D_-] = \partial_- \partial_+ \ln \Psi_- - \partial_+ \partial_- \ln \Psi_+$ , we use the constraint (34) to derive an equation for  $\sigma = \ln \left( |\Psi_+|^2 / |e^U| \right)$ . Indeed we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_- \partial_+ \sigma &= -8\kappa^{J^2} e^{F/2} (e^\sigma - e^{-\sigma}) \\ \partial_- \partial_+ F &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where  $F = \text{Re } U$ . First, we observe that system (35) is invariant under conformal transformations given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= f(\tilde{\eta}), & \bar{\eta} &= g(\tilde{\bar{\eta}}), \\ \tilde{\sigma} &= \sigma(f(\tilde{\eta}), g(\tilde{\bar{\eta}})), & \tilde{F} &= F(f(\tilde{\eta}), g(\tilde{\bar{\eta}})) + 2 \ln(f' g'). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Secondly, system (35) is strictly connected with the integrable affine Liouville system introduced in Ref. [19]. This model contains three fields, one of which, however, is completely determined by the functions  $\sigma$  and  $F$  satisfying system (35).

By performing a suitable conformal transformation, we can put  $F$  to a constant, then system (35) is reduced to the form

$$\partial_- \partial_+ \sigma + \kappa^2 \sinh \sigma = 0, \quad (37)$$

which is the well-known sinh-Gordon equation. In the context of the anyon problem it appears as a special reduction of the  $\text{SU}(2)$  affine Toda field theory [7]. Therefore, it was studied by several authors in connection with a two-component Coulomb gas problem [20, 21]. Equation (37) is obtained from the Poisson equation, assuming that the concentrations of positive- and negative-charged particles obey the Boltzmann distribution law  $n_\pm = n_0 \exp(\mp \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  is the electrical potential. Then, from this point of view, system (35) could describe a two-component Coulomb gas with a non-uniform equilibrium density  $e^{F/2}$ , because of an external potential  $F$  (for instance gravitational) satisfying the Laplace equation. By analogy with these specific applications, we can interpret Eqs.(23) as a static anyon-antianyon system (corresponding to a magnetic vortex-antivortex system) at the thermal equilibrium accordingly with the Boltzmann distribution, with an effective

Coulomb interaction. More generally, in the non-static case the geometrical constraint (20) may be connected with a deviation from the thermal equilibrium.

The sinh-Gordon equation arises also in the study of an infinite row of counter-rotating vortices in an inviscid and incompressible 2-dimensional fluid [22]. Finally, it appears in statistical mechanical models of two-dimensional vortices systems in a bounded magnetized plasma [23, 24]. The essential point in the theory of the sinh-Gordon equation is its complete integrability [25, 26], like in the case of the sine-Gordon equation [27] (which can be obtained from (37) by performing the transformation  $\sigma \rightarrow i\sigma$ ). Also the Bäcklund transformations and infinite integrals of motion in involution can be found exploiting this mapping between the equations.

Several solutions to Eq. (37) are well known and their main features are connected with the interaction signature. In the case  $\kappa^2 = -1$ , cylindrically symmetric solutions, with a pointlike singularity, are well studied, also from the viewpoint of the Inverse Spectral Transform [26]. The asymptotic behavior at large distances  $r \rightarrow \infty$  is given by  $\sigma \rightarrow AK_0(r)$ , where  $K_0$  is the modified Bessel function of the second kind of order 0, and  $A$  is an arbitrary real constant. However, such a solution cannot be given in a closed form and it is related to a Painlevé transcendent. There are indications that the multicharged solutions, i.e. those solutions whose behavior at infinity is a linear superposition of a number of cylindrical symmetric singular ones (one-charge case) centered in different singular points, may exist. However, this type of solution is no longer differentiable along some curves connecting the singular points [28].

In the case with  $\kappa^2 = 1$ , it is possible to construct real analytic solutions [25], satisfying the condition  $\sigma = 0$  on a rectangular boundary. Such a solution is given in terms of the Riemann theta-function and is parametrized by a set of constants, called the main spectra, whose interpretation lies in the theory of IST for periodic boundary condition [29]. A special doubly-periodic solution can be obtained by separation of variables in the case of

square boundary (the fundamental cell). It reads

$$\sigma = 4 \tanh^{-1} [X(x_1) Y(x_2)], \quad (38)$$

where  $X(x_1) = k \operatorname{cn}(\overline{x_1}, \overline{k})$ ,  $Y(x_2) = \operatorname{cn}(\overline{x_2}, \overline{k})$ ,  $\overline{x_i} = x_i \sqrt{(1+k^2)/2(1-k^2)}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $\overline{k} = k/\sqrt{1+k^2}$  and  $k$  is determined, for a squared fundamental cell with a side of unitary length, through the relation  $4 K(\overline{k}) \sqrt{2(1-k^2)/(1+k^2)} = 1$ , being  $K$  the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. This solution contains a system of two vortices and two anti-vortices, with the nodal lines bisecting the domain. In other words, this solution corresponds to a static periodic arrangement of anyons and anti-anyons, which can be interpreted as a condensed state of such particles [30].

To conclude, in this paper we have shown that the 2-space dimensional classical continuous Heisenberg model is mapped, via the tangent space representation, into a two-component Chern-Simons gauged NLSEs (19), supplemented by the geometrical constraint (20). Then, according to the interpretation given by several authors about the role played by the Chern-Simons electrodynamics, we can describe the planar magnetic systems, and more specifically their vortex-antivortex excitations, in terms of anyons. It is a remarkable fact that the mathematical procedure leads directly to the Chern-Simons model from the original problem, without any further guess concerning the interaction existing among the magnetic vortices or, alternatively, between the anyons. The equivalence between magnetic vortices and anyons is stressed in the static case, where the derived system reduces to self-dual Chern-Simons models, leading to the Liouville equation and, more generally, to the sinh-Gordon equation. Both these equations are completely integrable, as the original static problem is. Solutions of these equations are well-known, and their interpretation in terms of magnetic vortices is quite simple. In particular soliton solutions of the Liouville equation correspond to spin field configurations having analytic stereographic projection. Therefore, the magnetic topological charge gives the electrical charge of the anyons. Then, quantization of the electrical charge of anyons has a topological explanation. In the case

of the sinh-Gordon equation we have a two-component system of anyons and anti-anyons at thermal equilibrium, representing an analogous combination of magnetic vortices and anti-vortices. We have shown that the self-dual system (23) is equivalent to the Poisson-Boltzmann equation for such a system. In particular, static periodic configurations can be obtained for the ordinary  $SU(2)$  spin field. For the non-compact  $SU(1,1)$  spin model, a rich structure of singularities emerges, but their meaning is far from being understood. Furthermore, by performing a conformal transformation on the sinh-Gordon, we can obtain solutions for the more general system (35). Finally, in deriving this system we have found the quantity  $U$ , defined in (33), which expresses the conformal invariance property of the original static Heisenberg model. All these results give a more profound understanding of the physics of the anyons and of the magnetic vortices. For instance, we can interpret the skew deflection of magnetic bubbles in a magnetic field gradient [31] and, in general, the analogies exhibited by magnetic systems and planar superconductors.

This work was supported in part by MURST of Italy and by INFN - Sezione di Lecce. One of the authors (O. K. P.) thanks the Department of Physics of Lecce University for the warm hospitality.

## References

- [1] F. Wilczek, *Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity*, World Scientific, Singapore ( 1990).
- [2] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys, **120**, 351 (1989).
- [3] J. Frölich, in Cargèse Lectures 1987.
- [4] A. P. Balachandran et al., *Hubbard Model and Anyon Superconductivity*, Lectures Notes in Physycs - Vol. 38, World Scientific, Singapore ( 1990).
- [5] S. Girvin and R. Prange (eds.), *The Quantum Hall Effect*, Springer Verlag, New York (1990).
- [6] S. M. Girvin and A. H. McDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 1252 (1987).
- [7] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **107**, 1 (1992).
- [8] L. Martina, O. K. Pashaev and G. Soliani, preprint Lecce (1993), submitted to Journal of Physics A.
- [9] V. E. Zakharov and L. A. M. Takhtajan, Theor. Math. Phys**38**, 26 (1979).
- [10] R. A. Leo, L. Martina and G. Soliani, J. Math. Phys. **33**, 1515 (1992).
- [11] H. Eichenherr, Nucl. Phys. bf B146, 215 (1978).
- [12] V. G. Makhankov and O.K. Pashaev, *Integrable Pseudospin Models in Condensed Matter*, in Sov. Sci. Rev., Math. Phys. **9**, 1 (1992).
- [13] N. Papanicolau and T. N. Tomaras, Nucl. Phys **B 360**, 425 (1991).
- [14] E. G. Floratos, Phys. Lett. **B 279**, 117 (1992).
- [15] A. M. M. Pruisken , Nucl. Phys. **B 290**, 61 (1987).
- [16] J. L. Gervais, A. Neveu, Nucl. Phys. **B 238**, 125 (1984).

- [17] L. D. Faddeev, L. A. Takhtajan, *Springer Lecture Notes in Physics* **246**, 166 (1986).
- [18] S.K. Kim, K. S. Soh and J.H. Yee, *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 4139 (1990).
- [19] O. Babelon and L. Bonora, *Phys. Lett. B* **244**, 220 (1990).
- [20] N. Martinov and D. Ouroushev, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen* **19**, 2707 (1986).
- [21] N. Martinov and N. Vitanov, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen* **25**, L51 (1992).
- [22] R. Mallier and S. A. Maslowa, *Phys. Fluids A* **5**, 1074 (1993).
- [23] D. L. Book *et al.* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34**, 4 (1975).
- [24] Y. S. Pointin and T. S. Lundgren, *Phys. Fluids* **19**, 1459 (1976).
- [25] A. C. Ting, H. H. Chen and Y. C. Lee, *Physica* **26 D**, 37 (1987).
- [26] M. Jaworski and D. Kaup, *Inverse Problems* **6**, 543 (1990).
- [27] R. K. Dodd, J. C. Eilbeck, J. D. Gibbon and H. C. Morris, *Solitons and Nonlinear Wave Equations* (Academic Press, London 1984)
- [28] M. Jaworski and D. Kaup, in *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Dynamical Systems*, M. Boiti *et al.* Eds., Singapore, World Scientific, pag. 118 (1992).
- [29] B. A. Dubrovin, V. B. Mateev and S. P. Novikov, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **31**, 59 (1976).
- [30] P. Olesen, *Phys. Lett. B* **265**, 361 (1991).
- [31] T. H. O'Dell, *Ferromagnetodynamics, the dynamics of magnetic bubbles, domains and domain wall*, New York, Wiley (1981).